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#### Abstract

We obtain a non-trivial solution of a system of seven differential equations that describe the transmission dynamics of Tuberculosis (TB). This model considers a susceptible population that can be infected with two types of TB, i.e., those infected with typical TB and others with TB that is resistant to antibiotics. We include the population that can be vaccinated. We obtain the critical point of the system and the five eigenvalues from the evaluation of the Jacobian matrix at this nontrivial critical point.
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## Solución no-trivial de un sistema de ecuaciones diferenciales que explica la dinámica de transmisión de la Tuberculosis

## Resumen

El trabajo presenta una solución no trivial de un sistema de siete ecuaciones diferenciales que describen la dinámica de transmisión de la tuberculosis (TB). Este modelo considera una población susceptible que puede infectarse con dos tipos de TB diferentes, es decir, aquellas infectadas con la TB tradicional, y otra que es resistente a los antibióticos. El modelo incluye a la población que puede ser vacunada. Gracias a ello, se obtiene un punto crítico del sistema y cinco valores propios de la evaluación de la matriz Jacobiana en este punto crítico no trivial, que nos pueden ayudar a diseñar campañas de vacunación para erradicar esta enfermedad.
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## 1 Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is one of the ten infectious diseases that affect humans around the world. According to World Health Organization (WHO) data, it has been estimated that 1.3 million people died from TB in 2017. It is estimated that one third of the world's population is infected with this disease [1]. One of the causes of the increase in TB cases is the weakening of the immune
system associated with HIV [2], and for this reason the WHO has proposed the eradication of the TB epidemic by the year 2030, and reducing the incidence rate by $90 \%$ by 2035 [2].

TB is caused by the bacterium Mycobacterium tuberculosis that is transmitted by air through the inhalation of droplets introduced into the atmosphere by infected individuals when coughing or talking which would affect the lungs. Once a person is infected by the bacteria, a granuloma
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forms. This is an aggregate of immune cells that surrounds the mycobacterium and the individual is unable to eliminate it. As a result, the disease becomes latent and the person is at risk for activating the disease. Only $10 \%$ of the population is able to activate the disease [3]. However, Davis and Ramakrishnan have suggested that the granulomas probably favor the disease instead of containing it [3].

On the other hand, bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) is a vaccine that has been used against TB. At the present time, this vaccine is infrequently used in the United States. It is given to infants and young children in countries where TB is common although BCG does not always protect adults against this disease.
In the lung, the first line of defense is activated when bacteria are phagocytosed by alveolar macrophages that induce an inflammatory response leading to the formation of the granuloma. However, this process also generates a negative effect in that other types of chemical substances may help in the creation of new cancer cells.

In view of this, several mathematical models have been proposed to explain this behavior. The previous mathematical models only consider a solution where the critical points are almost all zero [4]-[8]. The analysis and the discussion are based upon a calculation of the Basic Reproduction Number ( $R_{0}$ ) which is characterized by not obtaining all the critical points of the system of differential equations.

In this work, we obtain a non-trivial solution that has not been previously considered in the scientific literature and include the population that is susceptible to receiving the vaccine.

## 2 Material and methods

The model that is studied in this paper consists of solving a system of seven ordinary differential equations. This model has been based on the SEIRS model for TB, where the susceptible individuals are exposed to two classes of infections (typical and resistant strains) of TB. This model is based on the published papers [4]-[8].

The model that is considered in this paper assumes that the susceptible population $(S)$ consists


Figure 1: Compartmental model for the transmission dynamics of Tuberculosis.
of two types of latent individuals which can be infected. The group that succumbs to the typical strain of TB $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and the group that succumbs to the resistant strain $\mathrm{TB}\left(L_{2}\right)$. The infected individuals are defined as $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$. Vaccinated individuals $(V)$ and individuals receiving treatment $(T)$ are considered (see Figure 1).

The proposed mathematical model describing this system is given in the set of Ordinary Differential Equations 1

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d S}{d t}= & \Lambda-c S\left(\beta_{1} I_{1}+\beta_{2} I_{2}\right)-(\mu+\varepsilon) S \\
\frac{d V}{d t}= & \varepsilon S-(\mu+\eta) V \\
\frac{d L_{1}}{d t}= & \eta V \lambda_{1}-\left(k_{1}+r_{1}+\mu\right) L_{1}+p r_{2} I_{1} \\
& \quad-\beta_{2} c L_{1} I_{2}+\beta_{1} c I_{1}(S+\sigma T) \\
\frac{d L_{2}}{d t}= & \eta V \lambda_{2}+\beta_{2} c I_{2}\left(L_{1}+S+T\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \quad+q r_{2} I_{1}-L_{2}\left(k_{2}+\mu\right) \\
\frac{d I_{1}}{d t}= & k_{1} L_{1}-\left(r_{2}+d_{1}+\mu\right) I_{1} \\
\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}= & k_{2} L_{2}-\left(d_{2}+\mu\right) I_{2} \\
\frac{d T}{d t}= & r_{1} L_{1}+(1-p-q) r_{2} I_{1} \\
& \quad+\left(1-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) V \\
& -c T\left(\beta_{2} I_{2}+\sigma \beta_{1} I_{1}\right)-\mu T
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the definition of the variables
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Table 1: Parameter identification in the TB model
$\Lambda$ Constant recruitment rate,
$\beta_{1} \quad$ Average proportions of susceptible individuals infected,
$\beta_{1} \quad$ Average proportion of individuals infected by one resistant-TB infectious,
c Per-capita contact rate,
$\mu \quad$ Per-capita natural death rate,
$\varepsilon \quad$ Average proportions of vaccinated individuals,
$\eta \quad$ Proportion of vaccinated individuals who did not complete their treatment,
$k_{1}$ Rate at which individuals leave the latent class,
$k_{2}$ Rate at which individuals leave the resistant-TB class,
$r_{1}$ Per-capita treatment rates for latent individuals,
$r_{2}$ Per-capita treatment rates for infectious individuals,
$p$ Proportion of treated infectious individuals who did not complete their treatment (latent class),
$q$ Proportion of treated infectious individuals who did not complete their treatment (resistant-TB),
$\lambda_{1}$ Proportion of vaccinated individuals (latent class),
$\lambda_{1} \quad$ Proportion of vaccinated individuals (resistant-TB class),
$\sigma$ Proportion of treatment individuals become infected who did not complete their treatment,
$d_{1} \quad$ Per-capita disease induced death rate by $I_{1}$,
$d_{2} \quad$ Per-,capita disease induced death rate by $I_{2}$.
and constants that were defined previously $[6,7]$ as can be seen in Table 1. However, we include two new constant called $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ which represent the total proportion of the vaccinated individuals of the two classes $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. These values are positive constants between 0 and 1 which is similar to the constants $p$ and $q$ defined in $[6,7]$.

## 3 Results and Discussion

A non-trivial critical point obtained from this differential equation according to the procedure employed previously [9]-[12] is presented in the Equations 2-8

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\star} & =\frac{\Lambda}{A_{2}}  \tag{2}\\
V^{\star} & =S^{\star} \frac{\varepsilon}{(\mu+\eta)}  \tag{3}\\
L_{1}^{\star} & =V^{\star} \frac{\lambda_{2} \eta A_{0}}{A_{5}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}^{\star}= & L_{1}^{\star} \frac{k_{1}}{A_{0}},  \tag{5}\\
L_{2}^{\star}= & -V^{\star} \frac{\eta\left(d_{2}+\mu\right)\left[\lambda_{2} A_{5}+k_{1} r_{2} \lambda_{1} q\right]}{\left[\beta_{2} c k_{2}\left(T^{\star}+S^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)-A_{4}\right] A_{5}},  \tag{6}\\
I_{2}^{\star}= & L_{2}^{\star} \frac{k_{2}}{d_{2}+\mu},  \tag{7}\\
T^{\star}= & \frac{\varepsilon \Lambda N_{0}}{d e n}  \tag{8}\\
& \text { where } \\
& \quad \operatorname{den}=k_{1} N_{3}\left(\sigma T^{\star}+S^{\star}\right)-N_{1} A_{1} \\
& \quad-N_{2}\left(T^{\star}+S^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)+N_{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

In order to simplify these equations, we have defined the expressions given in the Equations 9-19

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0} \equiv & r_{2}+\mu+d_{1} ;  \tag{9}\\
A_{1} \equiv & \beta_{2} c I_{2}^{\star}+k_{1}+r_{1}+\mu ;  \tag{10}\\
A_{2} \equiv & c \beta_{1} I_{1}^{\star}+c \beta_{2} I_{2}^{\star}+\mu+\varepsilon ;  \tag{11}\\
A_{3} \equiv & \beta_{1} c k_{1} \sigma T^{\star}+S^{\star}+p k_{1} r_{2} ;  \tag{12}\\
A_{4} \equiv & \left(k_{2}+\mu\right)\left(d_{2}+\mu\right) ;  \tag{13}\\
A_{5} \equiv & A_{1} A_{0}-A_{3} ;  \tag{14}\\
N_{0} \equiv & {\left[\beta_{2} c k_{2}\left(T^{\star}+S^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)-A_{4}\right] }  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \cdot\left(1-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(A_{1}+A_{3}\right) \\
& \quad+k_{1} \eta r_{1} A_{0}+\lambda_{1} p r_{2}(1-p-q), \\
N_{1} \equiv & A_{0} A_{2}(\mu+\eta) \mu  \tag{16}\\
& \quad \cdot\left[A_{4}(\mu+\varepsilon)-\beta_{2} c k_{2}\left(T^{\star}+S^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\beta_{2} c \varepsilon \lambda_{2} k_{2} A_{2} \eta \Lambda ; \\
N_{2} \equiv & \beta_{2} c k_{2} \mu(\mu+\eta) A_{2} A_{3}  \tag{17}\\
& \quad-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} c^{2} \varepsilon k_{1} k_{2} \eta \lambda_{1} \Lambda ; \\
N_{3} \equiv & \beta_{1} c \mu A_{4}(\mu+\eta) A_{2}  \tag{18}\\
& \quad-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} c^{2} \varepsilon k_{2} \eta \lambda_{2} \Lambda ; \\
N_{4} \equiv & A_{4}\left[\beta_{1} c \varepsilon \lambda_{1} \eta \sigma \Lambda-\mu(\mu+\eta) p r_{2} A_{2}\right]  \tag{19}\\
& \quad+r_{2} \beta_{2} c \varepsilon k_{2} \eta \Lambda\left(\lambda_{1} q-\lambda_{2} p\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to evaluate the Jacobian matrix $(J)$ at the critical point derived before and we obtained ( $S^{\star}, V^{\star}, L_{1}^{\star}, L_{2}^{\star}, I_{1}^{\star}, I_{2}^{\star}$ ) where we are using the following notation for the terms of the Jacobian matrix defined as

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
J_{11} & \cdots & J_{17} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
J_{71} & \cdots & J_{77}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The terms that are different from zero in Jacobian
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Table 2: Eigenvalues $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}, \mathcal{L}_{3}, \mathcal{L}_{4}, \mathcal{L}_{5}$ obtained from the matrix Jacobian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=-(\mu+\eta), \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3 \beta_{2} c k_{2}\left(S^{\star}+T^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)+\left(k_{2}-d_{2}\right)} \\
&-\frac{2 \mu+r_{2}+k_{2}}{2}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 k_{1}\left[\beta_{1} c\left(S^{\star}+\sigma T^{\star}\right)+r_{2} p\right]+\left(\beta_{2} c I^{\star}+k_{1}+r_{1}-r_{2}-d_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
&-\frac{\beta_{2} c I_{2}^{\star}+r_{2}+r_{1}+2 \mu+d-1+k_{1}}{2}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{4}= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 \beta_{2} c k_{2}\left(S^{\star}+T^{\star}+L_{1}^{\star}\right)+\left(k_{2}-d_{2}\right)} \\
&+\frac{2 \mu+r_{2}+k_{2}}{2},
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{r}
2 \\
\mathcal{L}_{5}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4 k_{1}\left[\beta_{1} c\left(S^{\star}+\sigma T^{\star}\right)+r_{2} p\right]+\left(\beta_{2} c I^{\star}+k_{1}+r_{1}-r_{2}-d_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
\quad+\frac{\beta_{2} c I_{2}^{\star}+r_{2}+r_{1}+2 \mu+d-1+k_{1}}{2} .
\end{array}
$$

matrix are

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{11} & =-\left(c \beta_{1} I_{1}+c \beta_{2} I_{2}+\mu+\varepsilon\right) ; \\
J_{21} & =\varepsilon ; \\
J_{22} & =-(\mu+\eta) ; \\
J_{32} & =\lambda_{1} \eta \\
J_{33} & =-\left(c \beta_{2} I_{2}+k_{1}+r_{1}+\mu\right) ; \\
J_{37} & =c \beta_{1}(\sigma T+S)+p r_{2} ; \\
J_{42} & =\lambda_{2} \eta \\
J_{44} & =-\left(\mu+k_{2}\right) ; \\
J_{45} & =c \beta_{2}\left(T+S+L_{1}\right) ; \\
J_{54} & =k_{2} ; \\
J_{55} & =-\left(\mu+d_{2}\right) ; \\
J_{62} & =\left(1-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) ; \\
J_{63} & =r_{1} ; \\
J_{66} & =-\left(c \beta_{1} I_{1}+c \beta_{2} I_{2}+\mu\right) ; \\
J_{73} & =k_{1} ; \\
J_{74} & =q r_{2} \\
J_{77} & =-\left(r_{2}+\mu+r_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain the eigenvalues which help to understand what the conditions are where this
system is stable. We can calculate we calculate five eigenvalues given in the Table 2.

The first three eigenvalues are negative independent values of the constant, and therefore we have three stable points.

## 4 Conclusion

The present paper presents a nontrivial solution of the transmission dynamics of TB model where we consider a solution that has not been previously considered. This solution can help us to design of vaccination strategies in the future, but it is necessary include the results of vaccination campaigns to adjust the parameters of the model in a specific place and time. This point will be resolved in an upcoming work.
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